FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.27 OF 2007
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.369 OF 2006

Thane Municipal Corporation .....Petitioner.

V/s

Siddhi Developers & Anr. .....
Respondents.

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrars orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. R.S. Apte for the Petitioner.

Ms. Nayana Thatte for Respondent No.1

CORAM: A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.
DATE : 27th February, 2007

P.C:

1. Heard Mr. Apte, Counsel appearing for the Petitioner.

2. I see no propriety in recalling my order dated 2/2/2007, requiring the respondent - contemnor to pay costs quantified at Rs. 2000/- for

reasons mentioned in the said order. The explanation offered by the Petitioner that he was misled because of communication received from advocate and that no court notice was served is unacceptable. As, after receipt of the communication from the advocate for the petitioner, nothing prevented the respondent - contemnor to take inspection of record and find out status of the proceedings. This Court had issued notice before admission on 30/11/2006 which was served through advocate for the Petitioner. Thus understood, there is no reason to entertain this application. The same is dismissed.

3. Contempt Petition be listed as per the scheduled date.

A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.